

Existing Industries — Proposal 27

The Defense Industry

“Coming to Final Terms with the Military Industrial Complex”

The challenge here far greater, yet somewhat similar to that We confronted with the Pharmaceutical Industry. Both are absolutely necessary National Infrastructure Industries and thus are required in some practical and functional form. However they cannot and will not be allowed to continue as they exist as of January 1, 2008.

o o o o

***IF, and I do mean IF,
We never intentionally start down the road to World Peace,
We are surely Doomed.***

***To deliberately travel that road to World Peace,
We must fully Reconcile with those that make their Living
by providing the Toys of War,
and Confront those that simply Love the War.***

o o o o

“Required” Viewing

Why We Fight

A must see movie mentioned before. If you have not seen it (most people have not even heard about it) please go to Blockbuster and get this movie. It starts with President Dwight David Eisenhower’s farewell address to the nation the night before President John F. Kennedy’s inauguration. In that historic speech the much respected president warned the country about the potential build-up of the Military Industrial Complex (a title Eisenhower actually created) and shows how it evolved to the present day. Senator John McCain speaks in the movie that to me gave it a bit more credibility. It is grim Reality.

Unfortunately for us with the creation and build-up of the Bush II/Cheney “optional” Iraq War, President Eisenhower’s fears have now been fully realized.

In 2008, the Peace-loving and vast majority of American citizens must deliberately and aggressively confront the hard economic and foreign policy realities of the Military Industrial Complex because in the final analysis it has

become far more of a threat to our National Security — rather than its Protector!

Lord of War – Distributed by Lions Gate Films

This film starring Nichols Cage provides insight into the arms merchants – those that facilitate the distribution of the Toys of War. It is hard, reality based movie that is educational and worth seeing.

o o o o

Issue/Problem

To be perfectly clear, the problem defined here is from the perspective of the Common Good. It is from the People’s viewpoint, not that of the Defense Industry and the Department of Defense – those that profit from the recent build-up and super-sizing of the Military Industrial Complex, the MIC. Under the Bush II and Cheney tag team – the Big Oil and MIC lovers, respectively, the nation was fooled into Bush II’s optional Iraq War.

Again, and again, and again – to best understand the Big Picture on the overall Defense Industry please watch the movie *Why We Fight*. Consider it your patriotic duty to watch and consider it! Not to convince, but to inform. It will help you to better understand:

- why We are really in Iraq today.
- why We cannot stay in Iraq under the current arrangements with a fractured government.
- why We will of necessity remain in Iraq “long-term” only to insure and protect the oil supply, and its dependable flow. That is why Bush II took us there in the first place. Policing the oil supply will require a very, reduced force that will also be under far less daily threat. Thus, our troops will stop being a police force and national referee.
- why We will need to forcefully dictate the partitioning of Iraq into Shiite, Sunni, and Kurd provinces, and quickly conclude an equitable Oil-sharing arrangement between those parties and without our Big Oil companies complicating things.
- why We will put an end to the old mentality that “war is good for our economy.” It will be very publicly be replaced with “peace and environmentally” oriented national policies – for fun and profit!
- why We will very soon control and reduce the profitability of the American MIC – the Defense Industry. An industry that has deliberately set itself up to provide employment in all 50 states, and DC of course.

And why We will do all those things for the Common Good of our nation and the world.

o o o o

Perspectives on MIC Re-Structuring and Control

This particular proposal alone could be as thick again, as this entire book. And there are many things I would add to this piece, but again the time is running short bring CS2 to publication in early 2008 – today is December 14, 2007 and these six proposals and CS2 near completion.

As Keith Olberman/MSNBC said today, this is the 1,689th day since Bush II declared “**Mission Accomplished**”. You have got to love that kind of sarcasm. But, consider that in reality Bush II might have meant exactly what he said!

That climbing total is way beyond the 444 days that Iran held the American hostages and helped defeat President Carter’s re-election. That *narrow* loss lead in turn to Ronald Reagan’s election and the last major MIC build up (in relative peace time) that also left the nation with a huge National Debt. Bush II has exceeded that Debt and unfortunately for us and the domestic economy he is not done yet. Do not kid yourself the BC duo’s intent going in was to keep us in Iraq for as long as possible, if not permanently.

For some perspective on the question of how long “they” want to keep us in Iraq, let’s take a look at the approximate day count of a few major MIC events:

Germany	2008 – 1945 = 63 years = 22,995 days
Japan	2008 – 1945 = 63 years = 22,995 days
Korea	2008 – 1950 = 58 years = 21,170 days
Afghanistan	2008 – 2001 = 7 years = 2,555 days
Iraq	2008 – 2003 = 5 years = 1,825 days

However, We did get out of Granada!

A Hard Reality Check

I suggest that in the real strategy of the dynamic Oil/MIC duo, of the Bush/Cheney (BC), actually had been victorious! They got us and our military into Iraq! Their real *Mission* had indeed been *Accomplished*. Think about that.

o o o o

Our military men and women had done their job very well and taken control of Iraq away from its dictator. Add to that, “C” more than “B” had unnecessarily blown-up water, sewage, and electric plants, bridges, and other civilian necessities and practically drove Iraq back into the Stone Ages — thus, greatly decreasing the post-dictatorship quality of life for the people of Iraq. Such deliberately planned, but avoidable destruction had little to do with winning a war – that they knew before going in that we would surely win — but everything to do with writing sole source re-construction contracts for certain American military contractors. The MIC at work! Consider that patriotic scenario for a while my fellow taxpayers.

And BC was not done yet. Shortly thereafter, they “decided” to formally discharge the Iraqi military rather than keeping it under Allied control and putting them to good use. However, to keep them under appropriate control during the predictably, tedious transition from dictatorship to some form of Democratic government it would require that they got paid! And that was not in BC’s plan or budget that was designed for military contractors to support — so they *obviously* had to go. And besides, that would be too damn peaceful...

So they deliberately just sent over 500,000 well-armed and really, pissed-off Iraqi’s home without a pay check. Smart MIC-thinking again, because since so many of them were Sunni, the Muslim minority in Iraq that had been used by the former dictator to keep the Shiite majority inline – often with a heavy hand – they were now open targets for that ever-popular, Middle Eastern, Old Testament game of “an-eye-for-an-eye” and the national blood bath was on!

Now some may think after reading those pieces, but how could that be or how could they know that would happen ahead of time. Because, for one thing the Pentagon’s job is to analyze every possible scenario and they knew the failed history of such decisions. And so did the State Department. Going back to the early 1990’s — Bush I and Cheney knew and publicly spoke about the clear dangers of taking out the Iraqi dictator in the first Gulf War. How it would create a power imbalance in that historically, volatile region, and that is why We did not do it. That was Bush I’s pre-agreement made with the leaders of nations in the region before the Gulf War I was initiated, and why the ground war only lasted for three (3) days.

The Plain Truth is that BC of their own “free will” choose not to heed the knowledge and the lessons of history, and deliberately doomed us to endure this national embarrassment and the “avoidable” lost of so many precious American and Iraqi lives.

Good and Bad Intent

For those among us that for sincere or selfish reasons are actually satisfied with the present state of affairs relative to the MIC, they will not be swayed or informed at all by what I might write here, and frankly I am not writing to them! I am writing to the growing Majority of us that believe otherwise! I am not slamming those folks because they are entitled to their opinion, however do understand that people with their perspective, have over the years been deliberately used by self-serving Special Interests, and have helped to form Bush II's *destructive*, National Defense policy and have helped create the chaos that our troops are sitting in today.

In late 2007 I spoke with a women that was probably in her early thirties about watching “Why We Fight” and she volunteered that her uncle was a life long Marine and had served in wars, and in recent years was assigned at the Pentagon. She said he was frustrated and very disappointed with Iraq and what the military had become in general. And if a battle hardened United States Marine can come to that sobering conclusion, just what else is there to say.

The Purpose of a Nation's Military

In the movie “Why We Fight” that was released in 2006, it was pointed out that the U.S. military spending exceeds the “combined” spending of Russia, China, and the European nations (NATO).

Consider – The Russian, Chinese, and for the most part NATO do not have what I would term to be “foreign intended” militaries. For the sake of this piece I am focusing on Russia and China, since NATO has not yet been portrayed as being likely to attack us!

America has a foreign “intended military” industry! The initial MIC that President Eisenhower warned the adults of 1960 about was created out of necessity when the nation entered World War II, since the Great Depression had dismantled our WWI military.

The MIC has been kept alive and well since the end of WWII by Special Interest lobbying combined with the Korean War, the Cold War, the Vietnam War, and Reagan's *optional* military build-up against the Soviet Union that did promote end the Cold War, but only because they ran out of money before we did and left

us with a huge national debt. But wait, now we have the grand prize — the ultimate “MIC-strategy” in the form of the Bush/Cheney optional Iraq War and their intended *never-ending* military occupation thereof. Believe it!

If the MIC industry does not have an external threat, real or fabricated, it simply cannot begin to justify the continuous use of so many of our tax dollars. There is that Plain Truth, again.

The reason that Russia and China spend far less than We do is because they have not made a regular habit of attacking other countries. They factually use their military for domestic population control (nice way to put it) and public works projects. The Russian military did venture out one notable time and got their butts kicked in Afghanistan (what was that about learning from the lessons history).

And tell me, please! Who if anyone going to attack Russia and/or China? If not us, who? And We are not going to war with them unless it is over Oil or form of Environmental Catastrophe. There are no other justifiable reasons. China could take over Taiwan tomorrow and so what! We would simply drop-in and finally free Cuba and call it even. The Plain Truth.

And as We finally begin to plan and act properly now, Oil will quickly become a far less threatening factor for us and the world in general. The nation and environment will be the benefactor of an America fully engaged in protecting both of them, rather than protecting self-serving corporate profits and perpetuating a “foreign intended” military – the MIC.

Today our biggest threats come from small groups of zealots and those that pull their strings, as well as a World Financial System that is playing Russian Roulette with the global economy. Both of which can be effectively dealt with without pouring more good money after bad into a bloated MIC and by dealing firmly with the World Financial System.

We can do these things together. When 95% plus of the nation’s and the world’s population decide to do the Right things, the less 5% of the population does not stand a chance of continuing to do the Wrong things.

Dealing with Redundancy

The AIR Program approach to effectively “dealing” with the gargantuan American Defense Industry is somewhat like resolving what to do with the Pharmaceutical Industry. Parts of industry will be streamlined over the next few years to reduce the drain on our tax dollars that continues paying for the redundant administrative and operational overhead that exists across multiple contractors.

Other parts of the MIC are needed from a R&D perspective, but We do not need to keep paying taxpayer dollars for multiple contractors to be developing their version of the same product. Further, We do not **need** to keep developing upgrades to certain existing weapons. From hand held weaponry to laser guided missiles that are already in place and are far superior to anything that our troops will run into in battle — and do the killing job quite well. In too many cases the Defense Industry is acting like the Automobile Industry that feels it must making newer models that looks a bit different and has a few more goodies on it (but over the last 35 years has not worked to produce an engine that will yield 50 to 100 miles per gallon).

It must be acknowledged that We have enough ways to Shock, Awe, and Kill anything and everything — already in our Defense Industry tool kit. We do not need to keep creating new ways to do it.

Re-Directed Public Funding

This is one of the cornerstones of the Economic Reform examples sited throughout CS2. As We confront and resolve our public problems such less crime, ending the Drug War, controlled immigration, etc.,, we can practically quit spending so much of national and state budgets on them. And then those funds will be shifted to positive public programs. We can do this.

In the case of reducing MIC-spending, those freed-up budget dollars can be re-directed and put to use in promoting, creating, and supporting non-military purposed industries and within the same states and communities that are now getting Defense funding. This can be done. We can absolutely transition away from an economy *overly dependent* on Defense Industry tax dollars.

Enough said on that I believe.

o o o o

Regarding the Draft

This issue was touched upon earlier in Proposal 9 – Re-Considering the Military Draft – Saving Our Youth, but it is very relevant to this conversation.

My basic purpose in recommending that We bring back the Draft is actually to help resolve some Domestic issues, rather than building an Army for foreign assignment. My intent is not for us to build-up troop count in Iraq – as We need to get the hell out of Bush II’s optional war, ASAP!

The practical, domestic policy reasons why We should have never stopped the

Draft in the first place is sited in Proposal 9. However, the prudent National Security reason is that if We are ever again in a situation where it was decided that the country really had to go into a country *in force* such as Bush II wrongly decided in 2002 (BC were actually discussing the invasion of Iraq in early 2001), We must be able to draw upon as many trained troops/citizens as needed to get the job done and done quickly!

In 2002, We did not have the regular (non-National Guard) trained troops required to do the job of nation control in a volatile country like Iraq. If the Draft were still in place, We could have called upon several hundred thousand militarily trained Americans (that had been through at least 2 years of Army training) at the start of the war and Bush II might have actually pulled it off. Of course that available military force was not at our disposal because of an equally short-sighted decision in the mid-1970's. Where does that leave us, besides deciding how soon to "re-structure" and severely downsize our assignment in Iraq? The temporary success of the 'Surge' or not, our troops are still someplace they should never have been in the first place, or at least without the truth as to why they were really going there — to secure oil flow and corporate profits.

Going forward, after the Iraq experience, *We the People* must declare to the Congress that there is no valid reason for us to go war unless, as stated earlier it is over Oil or Environmental Catastrophe. Iraq had the largest military in the region and it of course was no match for our military.

I would expect that We will maintain Oil Flow Control Forces in Iraq and probably indefinitely. Absolutely! Our people have paid to high a price to get us on top of that Oil Reserve and therefore We will not leave those reserves open to problems that would only require us to go back in. Maybe not our current leadership, but We the American citizens and Electorate — are not that stupid!

With Oil from Iraq in our future, along with that from the Saudi Arabia, Venezuela, and Mexico at least, with a REAL, National Energy Policy cranking up in 2009, and the AIR Program restructuring of Big Oil — We will not need to go to war over Oil, as long as We keep doing the right things. And all that will also help us to avoid some Environmental Catastrophe scenarios, as well.

Beyond the Middle East and its Oil supply, there is no other place on the globe that would require us to go to war. We will never make a land assault on Russia or China, nor would they ever attack us in that manner or otherwise. And we will never attack North Korea with troops, although certain parties like to talk about that to stir up the People's fears. North Korea has a standing army of around one (1) million and it would be worse than if We would have invaded Japan at the end of World War II. Besides why go there? If they really started to get out-of-hand the Chinese would step on them rather than have us come over

there and do something about it. It is just common sense.

With all that said, We must consider and determine to restart the military Draft. If you skipped Proposal 9, you might want to go back and take a look. For one thing, having our men and women trained in working effectively as a team would absolutely come in very handy in the event of any actual major storm or Environmental Catastrophe in the future. Communities would react better and frankly more of us would survive.

As a frame of reference, the Selective Service Draft was shutdown in 1973 after the end of the Vietnam War. Eleven (11) years later the Congress passed the Comprehensive Criminal Reform Act of 1984. As a Probation Officer told me in the 1990's, it was a decision to start warehousing the raising number of criminals in the country with cocaine and other drug related crime was on the increase. It was the result of a full decade of too many young males graduating from high school or dropping out and having no where to go. The Draft was not there to take them in, give them structure, some vocational training, and some direction in life even if they only served the standard two (2) years — cause and effect. It has now been 35 years with no Draft and communities across the nation suffer with the negative results.

We must boldly correct the obvious policy and legislative errors of the past for the Common Good.

o o o o

Why Go To War

It has been obvious for sometime that BC had no intention or even the desire to begin downsizing our troop count in Iraq. AS mentioned before, in 2005 I heard former President Carter (a reliable source) interviewed and he commented that the Bush II Administration advised the leaders of the nations in that region 'before' the war was initiated that the intent was to keep bases in Iraq long-term (funny how something like that is not loudly reported on network or cable news shows – sorry Press).

You know We have got to sit on top of what is supposed to be the world's 2nd largest remaining Oil reserve! After all, that is one of the two 'reasons' why We went in there in the first place!

And you know, IF Bush II would have been up front with us about going into Iraq to secure us a new and longer lasting source of Oil, or, told us that immediately after his "Mission Accomplished Moment" on the aircraft carrier, it might have been easier to accept. It also would have altered our Iraq strategy from that day forward to serve that purpose. It could be that BC really believe that "we

peasants” are just too stupid to understand all that at the time, but then they have had several years to come clean and finally make that point haven’t they?

More over it is Bush II having Big Oil company profits foremost in his mind and Cheney cheer-leading for the MIC contractors, along with their inner-circle’s “war is good for the economy” mentality – that makes the war drag on. And they still have not come clean on the long-term bases they are building and why they are there.

With the Democrats winning “alleged control” of both Houses of Congress in 2006 the public had some optimism that partial sanity might take control of the situation and We would begin an orderly re-grouping toward withdrawal of the majority of our troops. That is what the voters – the citizens told both parties in November of 2006. Unfortunately for the public’s hopes and our troops’ lives, BC continued to do whatever they wanted and invented the “Surge” to keep things going. Instead of there being fewer troops and fewer MIC dollars in 2007 it is just the opposite.

And why is that? I believe that after you put aside all of the rhetoric:

- Democrats not leading in Congress to restrict funding the war. The Congress has the literal power to do that if “enough” members of both parties determine to do it regardless of Bush II’s veto.
- Bush II threatening to veto any war funding legislation with a date to begin withdrawal election. Again, Congress can do it if they really wanted to.
- Each party being more concerned about how to play the 2008 Presidential and Congressional campaigns. The Republicans already know they should lose the White House and probably more House and Senate seats, because the People are fed up with Bush II and their Party on both foreign and domestic issues. Over 25 congressional members have already announced they are “retiring” at the end of their current terms. The Democrats only need to keep from shooting themselves in both feet!
- If We do not fight them there, We will have to fight them here. Thankfully, We have not heard that gem for a while.
- If We leave Iraq it will become an extremist training base. They already had all the training sites they needed elsewhere before Bush II created the Iraq War and helped them with their recruiting efforts. This is just another case of domestic propaganda, you know like Terror Alerts that increased in frequency in the months leading up to the November 2004 Presidential election and then stopped the very next week.

The over-riding reason for the continuance of the Iraq War since the 2006 election is that the White House, the Senate, the House, both parties are too much in bed with the MIC contractors that help fund their re-election campaigns. It is a self-perpetuating old-boy and now old-girl, mutual admiration machine.

Further, Bush II did not want the normal post-war recession to hit while he was still in office.

And as I write in CS2 more than once — more and more over the last 10 or 15 years the Democratic Party has acted and voted like the semi-Republican Party, in too many cases involving both Domestic and Foreign policy. And all of that my Fellow Americans unfortunately leaves We the People, our economy, and over 150,000 of our brave military men and women – below the bottom line.

So, it is January 18, 2008 and the Recession partially due to excessive war spending and some other causes already discussed has begun. Bush II, his advisors, and Congress are scrambling to stimulate the economy. The Bush II Reign of Chaos continues.

o o o o

The Good, the Bad, and the Truly Ugly

Consider the following scenario and some of the places in the world where it applies. Some people make their living, and in fact claim their entire public identity, by complaining about a given issue and/or deliberately helping to perpetuate the problem. They are as predictable as the rising and the setting of the sun.

Side Point: Let's all face the fact that if the turbulent small group Middle Eastern countries were not sitting on top of all that "dam oil" We would not care any more about them or about those suffering in Darfur in the Sudan — than all the other human catastrophes that are happening everyday across the African continent and other places. The Plain Truth — simple reality!

Again it is oil interests covertly utilizing the well-intentioned *Screamers for Darfur* to serve their corporate agenda. If We wind up sending troops into Darfur it will not be to save the people. It will be to move on Sudan's Oil resources and to interfere with China's growing influence with that nation's disgusting leadership.

Without apology, CS2 is about deciding to take care of our own before being too concerned about others. The simple reason that Darfur and so many other countries in the world are in a perpetual state of turmoil is because they have too many people, not enough employment, their have/have not imbalance is a crime, and the Few people with Power "like it and intend to keep it" that way. It is absolute power at its worst, the Few over the Many, just world history repeating itself over, and over, and over again... And it is not America's fault or mess to clean up!

Let me use the Middle East as the example. Whether you are talking Sunni, Shiite, Israeli, Kurd, Turk, Arab, Palestinian, religious extremist group, or any other blissful group in that four thousand year old *train wreck* called the Middle East it breaks down like this:

The Good

Without a shadow of a doubt some 90% of the populations (the common masses) in all of those countries **just want peace** (that includes Iraq's pre-war population of 22 million). They just want to live their lives with their families, do their jobs, and get along in peaceful, coexistence with their neighbors whether they are next-door or in the next country.

However, there's a smaller percentage that make their living off the wars and sometimes wonder what they would do if something strange like "peace" broke out. What would happen to their piece of the pie. But they are not the problem.

The next smaller group involves the actual "war" business interests both inside and outside a given country. They make their living off the act of war and supplying the tools of battle and death. If you have never considered that reality, it is time for you to expand your frame of reference and give it a few moments of thought! And watch the "Lord of War". Those special interests represent the MIC within a given country. They are part of the problem.

The Bad

Next, there are those in the Middle East that have their entire public identity, their power, their fame — purely based upon the ongoing hatred, battles, and the killing. The clerics make up a key part of this group although they do not like people to talk about them being directly involved and stay mainly behind the scenes. A recent exception to that in Iraq and a clear example of the clerics Power over the masses was when the Shiite Cleric Sadr ordered his army to stop killing Americans for six months! That is a main reason why the Surge is working.

And, while this Bad group is fully at the core of the on-going, day-to-day chaos and do shoulder most of the blame — We have finally reached **them!**

The Truly Ugly

The *worst* of the litter, the smallest percentage of the Few that exist on all sides — and I repeat on all sides of these perpetual, neighbor-on-neighbor, and country-on-country wars. They are those that simply love the **hatred** and the **killing!** They live for it. They aggressively encourage and often help to finance

it working behind the scenes and are not necessarily even living in those countries.

They simply would not know what to do with them selves if the hatred and killing came to an end and the Masses had their dreams come true — and peace happened! This smallest group is responsible for promoting, producing, and perpetuating the greatest amount of the avoidable turmoil. And most often without even getting their hands dirty – let alone have them blown off!

o o o o

If you personally do not accept that this last and most destructive little fraction of world inhumanity exists and exists on the side of the battle you support – **please ask the next person that you see to slap you!**

Middle East Peace could actually happen, BUT it will **only** happen if and when that sick, little minority is first dealt with. And frankly, many of them will probably need to die at the hands of their own people for that to ever happen. I said I was not going to sugarcoat this message. We get far too enough of that garbage all the time.

To be “crystal clear” my fellow Americans, We definitely do have those latter types in our own population, industry, and government. They comprise the ugly under-belly of the Military Industrial Complex that President Dwight David Eisenhower so validly warned the nation about in January of 1960.

o o o o

In the movie “Why We Fight” there is scene at a Defense Industry contractors show (the 2003 AUSA Defense Show in Washington, DC). A representative from KBR (Kellogg, Brown, and Root) was talking to some military officers. His was doing some sort of a card trick and said,

“Now we have never met before, no collusion? Which is really odd because collusion is our business. Yes, collusion with the military!”

o o o o

From My 2003 Presidential Website

Yes, I did have my very own “amateur, yet official” Independent presidential campaign in late 2003 and early 2004. I will share some background on that in Part 5.

The following is the exact text from my former website’s Home Page. Consider that it was written in the late summer of 2003.

o o o o

Resolving the Iraq Problem

For starters let me state that I am very much in favor of strong military for our country and always standing up for America’s interests first.

I debated for some time whether or not to add these comments on the war in Iraq. However, this is an urgent national issue that any viable candidate will be questioned about by the press. Since I have discussed this scenario with many people in recent months and received positive response, I determined to include it here for public review. This campaign will not focus on domestic issues, only.

We must acknowledge that our troops are now involved in a guerilla war in Iraq. I spoke with a veteran the other day that served three and a half years in Vietnam. He stated that our troops are now facing the same grim situation that he and others faced years ago. They cannot tell the good guys from the bad guys, often until it’s too late.

Parties from outside Iraq with various agendas have been moving into that country for months. Their intent is to disturb the peace process and cause physical harm to Coalition forces. Although the administration dances around the issue, our current policy will have our troops in Iraq for some number of years into the future. The intent of their presence is to produce day-to-day peace in that country, and so to provide a new Iraqi government with an orderly startup period. In a guerilla war, the first objective is all but impossible to achieve. History has repeatedly demonstrated that reality, especially when the native population is not directly involved in making the peace.

The administration is now trying to orchestrate a reduction in U.S. troop levels by mid-2004. Whether this is a strategically prudent thing to do, or is being done to facilitate the administration’s run for re-election in 2004, or both, is strictly a matter of opinion.

Therefore, I offer this approach for your consideration. It is designed to force the arrival of day-to-day peace in Iraq within the next several months, or will at least remove our and other Coalition troops from harm’s way. We will give the Iraqi people a very simple choice. That way they may freely determine their own future, but they must decide now!

In a war, peace is not asked for. It must be made.

Background

The U.S. lead Coalition succeeded in removing the Iraqi dictator, his military, and the Baathist Party for controlling and oppressing the lives of the 25 million Iraqi people. This action resulted in the citizens of Iraq experiencing freedom and more potential control over their lives than they have had in over 30 years. However, there are on-going problems:

- By recent news estimates there are about 5,000 outsiders now in Iraq to prevent the peace and to harm Coalition forces.
- Members of the former dictator's Baathist party are also causing trouble in the streets. It is believed by some that the former dictator is helping to orchestrate their actions.
- And obviously, the former Iraqi dictator is still very alive and kicking.

Apparently, the Iraqi people do not appreciate the newly found freedoms. Nor do they seem to care about the welfare of the Coalition troops that are simply trying to provide them with day-to-day peace. This situation cannot be allowed to continue for the basic welfare of the troops.

The Ultimatum

Our government will set a date 45 days after this announcement would be made. For example, if the announcement is made on December 15th the deadline would be January 31, 2004.

The announcement will be directed to the 25 million citizens of Iraq and their religious leaders, in particular.

The powerful Iraqi religious leadership, the clerics, that have the ability to direct and control the majority of the population will be required to bring peace to the country by the deadline stated. The various clerics influence the 60 percent of the Iraqi population that is Shiite Muslim, and the Sunni Muslim minority, as well.

The clerics and the native citizenry of Iraq, together, will need to seriously consider the following scenario:

By the date specified in the announcement, the religious and civil leadership Iraq will be required to bring about peace in the entire country. Simply meaning the 25 million Iraqi citizens will need to effectively "deal with" the outsiders and any other internal people that do not want peace and are there to cause harm to Coalition forces.

If a peaceful state of affairs in Iraq is not delivered by the date set, all Coalition forces will be withdrawn and all active support to rebuild Iraq will be suspended.

We decided to attempt to bring peace to their lives. They have decided that they could not appreciate it.

The possible withdrawal of the Coalition forces may make some groups and

individuals feel a sense of victory. However, most will immediately realize that should the Coalition forces withdraw, it will set the stage for a probable bloody civil war for control over the country. The Shiite's and Sunni's, and the Baathists trying to bring back the former dictator would fight it out in the streets.

Now some might call this a barbaric proposal, but it is actually quite prudent. For the protection of our and other Coalition troops it could be the only logical response to the on-going situation. A true, self-preservation strategy driven by the complete lack of gratitude shown by the general population and the internal leadership Iraq, mainly the clerics.

They seem to have forgotten in just months, what their life was like for decades prior to the war. They are taking the situation and the Coalition for granted. We must not feel obligated to continue to support such thinking, especially at the cost of our troops. They have even let the United Nations and the Red Cross be attacked. Their continued national negligence is not worth the injury of one of our people and is currently taking far more than that.

If the internal leadership of Iraq and the native population, that is now well-armed, will not take it upon themselves to deal with those hostile forces, to facilitate a final peace in their own country, then the Coalition will cut their losses and withdraw. The world would watch how the 25 million people of Iraq deal with the real potential of the former dictator crawling out from under the rock he is hiding under and attempt to regain power over them once again.

These are very simple options for them to consider. And it should take them 45 days to "resolve" the matter internally, once they stare this reality in the face! They will have clear choices and they can determine their own national future.

The Coalition has no hope of ending or even controlling a guerilla war in Iraq. It is a mission impossible. It is not what we expected going in. We have been there before and have no rationale excuse for our troops to remain under such conditions. I would rather see some stock prices fall, than one more person!

That is the approach that I seriously recommend we follow very soon. I hereby challenge the administration and the other candidates to respond to this suggestion. And hopefully with something more than, "This guy does not understand the whole situation." This is not rocket science.

It does not matter how someone feels about whether we should have gone to war in the first place or about the justifications offered beforehand. The bottom line is that our people are in there and it is a mess that the Iraqi's have full power to cleanup in short order. This is just another example of a self-interested minority causing avoidable pain for the majority, but that majority does not stand and protect its own interests.

I personally believe we must honor the casualties and the lives lost among our troops and those of other countries, by not allowing this random slaughter to continue — with no end in sight!

o o o o

That is the end of the original campaign website text. It is now four more years later in Bush II's optional war.

The People's Sense

People throughout history have allowed themselves to be taxed for Defense. They have accepted governmental taxation in dollars, as well as giving their lives in both voluntary and involuntary military service.

It is now January of 2008 and by this point in world history, Civilization has advanced to the place where war for avoidable causes should be just that. Avoidable!

o o o o

We the People,

- Expect nothing less than a Strong Defense against any enemy foreign and domestic, especially considering the current magnitude of the Defense Department's annual budget and the Federal debt that Bush II's optional war has created and is adding to daily.

On the "Domestic (Homeland Security) Defense" front presented earlier, add the growing size and cost of the Judicial Legal Complex and all of the crime caused daily by Illegal Occupants that have entered the country over the last seven (7) more years – by airport, ship, and our Southern Border that remains wide-open.

- Expect that going forward the annual Defense budget to be presented and fully justified to the American public and specifically to the electorate. That would prove an interesting show.
- Expect the Defense budget, including any and all Defense "supplemental funding" expenditures to be capped at its FY2008 level — that runs from October 1, 2007 thru September 30, 2008 as the ***Absolute Defense Budget Ceiling***.
- Expect that the FY2009 the Defense budget that starts October 1, 2008 will be less than that FY2008 ***Absolute Defense Budget Ceiling***. That it will be reduced by five (5) percent at least across the board. And no adjustments for inflation will be considered, and no "supplemental war funding" will be allowed slipped in. FY2010 will also see a budget reduction as the overall Defense Industry is reeled in as our "foreign intended" Defense Policy is revised. In early 2008, Bush II is already preparing the FY2009 and the Defense Budget does not include all known war expenses.
- Expect that unlike in Gulf War I in 1991, our military will remove any and all

useable military and non-military vehicles, machinery, weapons, and ammunition — and bring them back with them. Thus, the taxpayers will not need to pay once again to have them replaced! Some National Guard units could use some the excess ‘stuff’ ordered, but not used in the war. That would also save the states some money!

With Regard to Iraq – We the People

Expect the Iraq Strategy to be reformulated considering the types of perspectives as those presented above and those discussed under the Oil Industry proposal, as well. The American public and the Electorate in particular want our fighting forces to have a true “Exit Strategy” that is somewhere in this decade.

That does not mean all of our troops will be out of Iraq. Let’s be realistic about the fact that some level of military presence will be required there for years – possibly decades – and I hate to think that, let alone write it down. Our strategy for 2009 must be built upon reality and Plain Truth, which will be the opposite of what we have received sine 2002. Some points to be reconciled in developing the Exit Strategy are:

- Our military men and women have been stretched to the breaking point. Multiple tours with little break in between.
- We cannot “win” a war as an occupying force when it is a combination of civil and ethnic neighbor-on-neighbor warfare – with a little guerilla on the side. The guerilla part is overly played to stir-up fears and scare some of the American public. We must realize that those guerillas only move about the country with the blessings and protection of one or more of the powerful Iraqi *clerics*. Otherwise they would be dead. Remember that the primary reason that our troop deaths went down is because one of the clerics told ‘his army’ to stop f killing Americans for six (6) months!
- We cannot afford this war any longer. Bush II and Cheney are breaking the Treasury with their war, and of course, just like Reagan will not be around as all of the bills come due. Although with the Recession inconveniently hitting early in 2008, Bush II will be forced to bear some of the bad press before he leaves town.

Bush II following the classic Republican mantra of cutting taxes – even in wartime – simply continues each month to borrow Billions around the world to ‘pay’ for the war. This is nothing more than Bush II and the Republicans in Congress (with the help of some Democrats) writing a guaranteed tax increase that cannot not be put in effect until after his administration is long gone.

The National Debt still left over from Reagan's reign, was under \$5.7 Trillion in 2000, with a budget surplus of \$261 billion. By 2007 it had reached 9.2 Trillion, with a \$250 billion deficit, and both are still growing.

We simply cannot afford to stay there! Doesn't the word Depression scare people anymore?

- And the alleged Iraqi government will not move to resolve the power struggle, so the only light at the end of the tunnel that anyone can see is an on-coming freight train!

There are of course others, but enough on that for now.

o o o o

The Partitioning of Iraq Alternative

I have heard the pros and cons with regard to dividing Iraq up into religious/ethnic territories to help establish some form of order between the various *tribes* within the country. It is a form of authorized ethnic-cleansing, which in simple fact is what the tribes of Iraq have been doing rather violently since 2002. It is not what the world may want to see happen to the Iraqi people, but the world does not live in Iraq. And there are still too many people with a 15th Century, Middle Eastern view of the world – that unfortunately have day-to-day Control over the general population and have guns. There in lies the rub!

Therefore, for the sake of a workable Exit Strategy from Iraq, the following overview of a Partitioning Plan is presented for review. It will be built around the following basic requirements:

- The mandatory partitioning of Iraq into three distinct Provinces to be populated for the most part if not exclusively by Shiite (south), Sunni (middle), and Kurd (north) ethnic groups. And the peaceful Re-settlement of the ethnic population into the appropriate Provinces.
- The necessity for U.S. troops to remain in Iraq possibly “long-term” only to insure and protect the oil supply, and its dependable flow. That is why Bush II took us there in the first place. Some will remain on bases that are now being built for that reason. Policing the oil supply will require a very, reduced force that will also be under far less daily threat. Thus, our troops will stop serving as a domestic police force and national referee.

Part of the cost of the U.S. troops stationed in Iraq will be covered by Oil revenues.

- Each Province will be self-governing and maintain a police force with responsibility for maintaining civil order within the Province, only. No standing Army will be allowed or equipped until complete order has been maintained for a period of at least five (5) years.
- The Provinces will be responsible for purging any non-Iraqi from their territory by any means they choose. Cross border violence will not be allowed and perpetrators will receive immediate capital punishment.
- Travel for business and work reasons will be freely permitted between Provinces with proper identification and work permit.
- The mandatory and expedited conclusion of an equitable Oil-sharing arrangement between those three parties. One that “fairly” distributes the Oil revenues into four (4) Revenue Funds. The fourth fund will pay for the Administrative Central Government.
- Establishment of an Administrative Central Government (ACG) that will serve all 3 Provinces. It will not have political power over the Provinces, but it will have authority and responsibility to coordinate the Iraq Population Re-settlement and National Infra-structure Reconstruction across the Provinces. Reconstruction that will be performed by Iraqis with as few outside contractors as possible. They will work to re-build their own country.

The ACG will have authority to officially monitor the Oil production and exporting operations. It will be charged with distributing Oil revenues per the Oil Revenue Sharing Agreement. The entity will initially be administered by the U.S. and Russia — with an equal number of seven (7) representatives from each Province. Eventually, the U.S. and Russia would step aside and the twenty-one would then takeover the Administrative Central Government responsibilities for the peaceful nation of Iraq.

Those are the initial set of requirements that will begin an intense, but deliberately brief and very public 2009 negotiation between the new U.S. Congress and the existing Iraqi leadership, as well as the American and Iraqi public.

o o o o

Prudent Drawn Down and Controls

Starting in 2009, We will bring the National Guard back at home where they belong — they all are doing a great job. And We will also begin returning the majority of our full-time military back home after fighting the good fight for the nation.

I personally do not see how We and the Congress could allow BC to attack anybody else, i.e., Iran during the remainder of their term. After America removes the vast majority of our troops from Bush's optional Iraq war, the country will not tolerate another such exercise. I do not believe the Congress will allow BC to make a military assault on Iran. And don't worry over the scary domestic propaganda — we will never attack North Korea — with land forces anyway.

In 2008 Congress could still take the required legislative action to cut Iraq funding and/or removing Bush II's war powers. The Congress can give it and the Congress can take it away. If they were brave enough.

Attacking Iran would be a disaster on many levels and Bush II does not want his administration to be considered a failure (although it is a bit late for that). Trying to send troops into Iran would signal the absolute end of his administration. I understand that a "fast-tracked" double impeachment (Cheney, too) could be accomplished in ninety (90) days.

o o o o

We must all acknowledge that real Change within any country's National System can be a tumultuous experience and that it creates both winners and losers — always has and always will.

It is often chaotic and violent as we see so terribly displayed in Iraq. There, the warring entities — mainly comprised of the former dictator's Ba'ath Party and Sunni Muslim minority versus Shiite Muslim majority population along with the Kurds that were so harshly suppressed for many years — are now fighting it out of power and control — as the status quo with Iraq's National System is totally up for grabs.

And everyone wants to be in control and secure their piece of the pie (and Oil rights and revenue) when it settles back down. That includes our soon to be restructured American Oil Industry.

And that pretty well sums things up.

American Industry Re-Structuring (AIR) Program

The Defense Industry

The Military Industrial Complex – The MIC

Overall Re-Structuring Approach

This is like swimming toward a school of barracuda and you just cut your toe on a pop-top!

The American Defense Industry will necessarily experience the full force of the AIR Programs – Entity Re-Structuring Level programs. The enormous and free-wheeling American Defense Establishment within the National System will be brought under prudent public control. All defense related corporate entities doing business and those desiring to continue doing business in the U.S. will comply, as applicable, with this involuntary American Defense Industry re-organization. This will not be a negotiation.

Due to the simple enormity of the MIC it will take some years to implement the AIR Program across the Defense Industry – right-sizing the MIC rather the letting it continue to be super-sized by Special Interests! However, significant Change will happen starting with the FY2009 budget that runs from 10-1-2008 thru 09-30-2009.

Realistically, the new President and the new Congress will need to sit down together in January 2009 and determine what Changes to make during the fiscal year, since it is hard to imagine Bush II and the old Congress would accomplish anything beneficial before they leave. Maybe they will surprise us in little, but productive ways?

The required Changes especially in how Defense cut-backs will economically impact all 50 states (and maybe even DC) is where the rubber meets the road. As mentioned before, a Recession of some size normally follows one of our wars. The end of a war, removes much the public justification for the increased spending the Military demands to support the fighting. Just as it did after the fall of the Soviet Union – remember the Base Closing Committees?

A Unique Crisis

In January of 2008, with the Bush II/Cheney duo pushing and funding an un-necessary Iraq War; with the Bush II/Republican controlled Congress spending money light the Democrats they still want to criticize for doing; with the uncontrolled Oil Industry eating away at the vital consumer spending (2/3) part of our economy; with the other Existing Industries already presented taking

everything that they possibly can get away with for fun and profit; and with other knotted strands that could be added to our tangled plate of spaghetti — the Plain Truth is that the post Iraq War Recession actually began in December of 2007.

I would call that a unique crisis! What do you think?

The nation is bleeding economically from the combined assault of all of those and other domestically generated problems. Demanding that our national leadership realistically alter our failing Foreign and Defense Policies, and therefore reducing the blood-sucking drain on the economy is an absolutely necessary accommodation to be made for the Common Good.

The dramatic and visible Changes in public attitude and expectations of our national leadership must start demonstrating themselves during the 2008 campaign. This is not just about reasonable Defense spending. We are talking about the Big Picture – America is on the line in this debate.

I will repeat some of this in Part 5. We have been in the habit of re-electing a set of U.S. Congress men and women and lately a president in 2004, that apparently only know how to perpetuate problems and promote failed policies on the Domestic and Foreign fronts. The luxury of allowing for such incompetence in high places has been and could be better tolerated in good economic times like the 50' and 60's. But that was then, and this definitely is now!

We are talking New Deal, if not “Last Deal” creativity and leadership to steer our nation's ship over the next several tough years. It can be done, but I personally do not believe the current membership of the U.S. Congress has the ability to do the job. And remember, solving the People's problems that cannot be properly resolved at the local and state levels – is their only job!

Policy, Budget, and Industry

Policy – the current “foreign intended” Defense policy in the Post-911 era will be revised and brought under practical control. First, refer to the comments under the People's Sense regarding a revised end-game strategy for Iraq. Second, We will need to objectively review our Afghanistan military and end-game strategy and determine what the rational path is for us to take.

Third, We will objectively review the War on Terror, define what it is, why it is, who is driving it, and who is allowing it to continue. We will then have high-level meetings with the real leadership of the countries involved whether they are bureaucratic, religious, tribal, or some combination thereof, We will then come to mutual and final understandings with them with regard to ending their participation in and/or support of all ‘foreign intended’ militant activities within their borders.

It will be a negotiation in the sense that all parties will have the opportunity to voice their positions and/or reasons for their participation in the on-going violence. However, it will also take the form of absolute, binding arbitration. Everything will be on the table and everything will be resolved! At the end of those open and totally blunt exchanges an absolute agreement will be reached to reconcile and resolve all issues standing in the way of putting an end to any and all future military and/or terrorist activities.

This can be accomplished.

The true, leadership and Power within all nations (be they presidents, premiers, sheiks, clerics, tribal leaders, dictators, etc.) will be held personally accountable for any violence that extends beyond their borders to any other nation. That personal responsibility and accountability will not be negotiable. Nations and specific people therein will deliberately be made offers that they cannot refuse. That will start with the countries in the Middle East and North Africa and expand rapidly from there.

Consider that the first real level of success that must be orchestrated along the path to Peace, is to end the exportation of violence across national borders. Once that achievable goal is well on its way to being 'mission accomplished' on a global scale, the real work begins. It requires no less than a national reconciliation between the politically and financially Powerful Few and the Masses. For countries that are already in a normally, peaceful state of being like Iceland, there is little to be done if anything. For many others, it will be nothing less than a domestic Revolution, that is entered into with the entire world aware of what is being attempted and observing the progress or lack thereof — as judge and jury.

America government will now reluctantly begin our own version of this external foreign policy and internal domestic reconciliation.

Budget – Again, refer to the comments under the People's Sense regarding the FY2008 and FY2009, and FY 2010 Department of Defense budget controls. The *Absolute Defense Budget Ceiling* will be set at the FY2008 level and will be reduced annually until it is balanced against our revised and prudent National Security Policy. The enormous dollars formally spent on Defense will be partially re-directed to Domestic programs. We will not weaken our Defense capabilities, but We will not continue to spend excessively and needlessly for too much of it.

Industry – The remainder of this proposal will highlight the AIR Program's application to the existing American Defense Industry. As with the previous Existing Industry proposals, it will provide enough detail to practically overview

the pending re-structuring of the major Defense Industry Contractors. Other details will be forthcoming during the 2008 campaign season.

Initial List of Industry Entities

This is the initial list of primary Defense contractors, or rather the MIC corporations and companies to be included in the AIR Program Re-Structuring of the Defense Industry:

Haliburton
KBR – Kellogg, Brown, and Root
McDonald Douglas
Lockheed Martin Corporation
Boeing Company
Northrop Grumman Corporation
Raytheon Company
General Dynamics Corporation
United Technologies Corporation
Science Applications International Corp.
TRW Inc.
Health Net, Inc.
L-3 Communications

This initial, working list of corporate entities may very well be refined as 2008 progresses and the November 2008 National Election draws near.

By deliberate, united vote on that historic day, *We the People* can freely declare that such practical and prudent Change to the National System for the Common Good of us all — will be made.

AIR Program – The Entity Re-Structuring Levels (ERL) Overview

The Defense Industry

ERL 1 – Operational Reorganization

This Defense Industry AIR Program proposal will follow the same track as the others, but will prove to be a bit more tedious. That is primarily due to the enormity of the Defense Establishment and the number of corporations involved in it.

The first phase of the Air Program will involve the major (prime) defense contractors, only. Many of the other companies below that top tier of Defense Industry contractors actually sub-contract through the prime contractors providing them with products and services. Therefore, AIR Program changes to the way that the prime contractors do business will automatically “trickle down” and begin to “ripple through” the network of supporting companies.

This initial phase will prove effective enough by bringing the Defense Establishment under reasonable financial control in 2009. With the practical Changes made at the top, additional restructuring at the lower level entities may not be required.

o o o o

A newly formed Board of Directors will comprise the first level of the MIC Organization Chart.

The Defense Industry corporations will effectively become operating Divisions of the newly formed, Non-Profit Military Industrial Consortium (MIC) – the Industry Management Consortium established to oversee the operations of the restructured Defense related corporations.

What better way to label the management entity that will finally bring the out-of-control Defense Industry into a far more practical and logical order, than using the label that President Eisenhower placed upon the rapidly growing of the Defense Industry. Going forward “MIC” will be short for Military Industrial Complex and Military Industrial Consortium in the public’s mind – just common sense.

Again, this means that starting with the largest Defense contractors We will begin a methodical merger of the major corporations that comprise today’s American Defense Industry.

Those corporations will combine to form the second level of the new MIC Consortium Organization Chart. There could be issues where a given Defense corporation is currently part of a larger corporation. As with the other Existing Industry, the corporate entity will be transferred to become operating Divisions of the MIC. Again, these would not be purchased or bought out just because they are stock held entities – a simple transfer.

An appropriate amount of operational consolidation takes place when fairly redundant corporate entities merge, we have all seen it – therefore some reduction in employment would eventually occur.

This AIR Program restructuring will prove to be an unavoidable wake-up call to the Defense industry as a whole. As the restructuring implementation of the Defense Industry progresses other corporate entities not included in the top tier reorganization, may well decide — specifically their current Board of Directors and stockholders — to do some ‘housekeeping’ of their own!

Note: Although the Consortiums are set-up as Non-Profit corporations, these organizations will pay taxes.

ERL 2 – Existing Management Retirement

Existing Senior Management

- Any member of senior management with Golden Parachute will be retired by May 30, 2009. Memorial Day, how fitting. Any and all stock options in their package will be eliminated.
- No more Golden Parachutes will be offered to future management, ever.
- Any remaining member of management with a base salary in excess of one (1) million dollars per year will have it cut to that amount as of July 1, 2009.
- All stock options for 2009 will be eliminated.
- All bonuses will be reviewed by the MIC group and may be reduced.

And if a given person does not like those Changes – they know where the door is...

Remember: The one-time offer to hold current and prior management and employees harmless from lawsuit will be presented and I believe will be accepted. This offer will be made to the current and prior members of the Boards of Directors, as well.

Existing Boards of Directors (BOD)

The existing BOD would be relieved of their positions by May1, 2009. By law most, if not all corporations are required to have some form of BOD whether it is

actually functional to the operation or just ornamental. The future form and function of the previously mentioned Industry Management Consortium created for the Defense Industry, the MIC, will be reviewed.

ERL 3 – New Management Structure and Charter

The Industry Management Consortium Requirement

With the consolidation of the existing top-tier Defense Corporations, into the new Military Industrial Consortium (MIC) the nation is again merging a group of businesses that are pretty much doing the same thing. Of course, there are areas of specialty in the Defense Industry and specialized R&D that are peculiar to certain businesses. As with the Pharmaceutical Industry, targeted R&D must and will continue.

With the merger of the top-tier Contractors, only a single Boards of Directors “entity” will be needed. The costs that are now associated with all the existing Boards is therefore not necessary and will go back into the operation. Those freed-up funds will be put to good uses.

The MIC’s Board will be responsible to the American public for implementing, strictly overseeing, and refining the new Charter of Operations. They will not manage the day-to-day operation.

Revised Charter of Operations

Simply stated the new MIC’s Inaugural Charter will be to:

The first level of the MIC will provide oversight and administrative control over the entire operation.

The day-to-day operations of the MIC will of course continue to be managed and performed by the Divisions and the existing operational managers and staff – the people that actually do the work today. The MIC (consortium) management and their staff will administer the overall operation. The MIC management team and their staff will be comprised of industry-wise people – not loaded up with consultants – outsiders if needed, but mainly selected for these critical positions from the managers in the Divisions that remained after the senior management was retired.

The MIC management team will serve as the primary contact point with the U.S. Congress, as well as state, county, city and local agencies. It will have a working Board of Directors, with its staff at the first level of the Military Industrial Consortium.

As you review this initial Charter, again keep in mind the related points made under the People's Sense section and earlier parts of this proposal. They will not all be mentioned here but will be blended into the MIC operational mandate.

Simply stated the MIC Inaugural Operational Charter will include:

1. Oversight of the consolidation of the top-tier Defense Contractor corporations into the new MIC organizational structure.
2. To work with the Congress and Department of Defense to right-size the American Defense Establishment. This will be balanced against a revised external Defense Policy that will take form during 2008 and will be refined in 2009.
3. To work with the states in determining how the definite coming decreases in Defense based funding from the Federal government can be "partially" and practically replaced with Domestic Infrastructure Improvement and Renewed Manufacturing Opportunity funding. Good titles!

Under the National Take Back Industries (TBI) program concept presented earlier and combined with the utilization of the Income Generating Entity (IGE) business model for creating small businesses – states and local governments will continue to receive some level of funding that was previously "ear-marked" for Defense-based businesses. The funding will continue to go directly to existing local contractors to help them transition to a right-sized Defense Industry, as well as helping some of them transition to new non-defense lines of business.

State and local governments will aggressively promote the TBI/IGE program concepts to create jobs and industries that provide the goods and services required by the public and business on a regular basis especially, if those employment opportunities were previously sent overseas – outsourced — in the last decade.

Overall Intent

The focus on building such required domestic businesses is essential to moving the U.S. Economy toward an Eternal Maintenance Economic model. When products from light bulbs, underwear, Levis, automobiles, and tractors are truly made in the U.S.A., money is maintained, re-cycled if you will within our borders.

With the continued collapse of the Eternal Growth Economic model – the migration away from the archaic 1950's and 1960's style Capitalism American and now toward a self-sustaining, Eternal Maintenance Economic model is not only *common sense*, it represents our nation's economic survival.

ERL 4 – Stock, Profitability, and Pricing Controls

As is repeated in these six proposals — the following scenarios will begin taking effect as soon as possible in 2009 and will likely be applied retro-actively when the required legislation is passed by the **new** U.S. Congress.

While We can always hope, there is probably little chance that the existing Congress and White House will move on this proposal before the November Election. These six Industry proposals need to be the **hottest topics** in the country during 2008. The frustrated Electorate needs to bring these and the other American Agenda Proposals to the campaigns and challenge the candidates for all offices for their position on each! We can do this.

Stock Trading Control

Stock trading in certain Defense Industry corporations will be in a state of virtual suspense. The stock will be initially frozen at its 1-1-2008 share price. Any negotiation and eventual buy-back activity will start at that price or lower possibly adjusted by the individual stock-holder's buy-in share price.

The market would be intensely watched (by the SEC and others) in the run-up to the 1-1-09 AIR Program Effective Date for any selling or other game playing. Again, violators will be severely dealt with including fines and/or prison time in a State run facility. No Federal playpens anymore.

Profitability Control

Corporate profitability for publicly held (stock) corporation will be capped effective 1-1-09 at no more than four percent (4%) for physical year 2009 and stockholder pay out will be capped and adjusted retro-actively. The Defense Establishment has had their *last* good ride at the public's expense during the Bush II years, but their party is permanently over.

Remember, Reagan actually brought the Cold War to an end by driving the Soviet economy into bankruptcy trying to compete with his optional Defense buildup. They simply ran out of money before We did!

My fellow Americans — It is now 2008, and We cannot, We will not allow Bush II, Cheney, and their MIC supporters to drive our economy into a brick wall with excessive Defense budgets and continuing to borrow Billions to keep their optional Iraq war, and the overly hyped War on Terror going.

o o o o

The 2009 and 2010 profits in excess of that will be put to practical uses such as:

- covering the initial re-organization costs
- elimination of any out-sourcing activities
- to develop and support a transition plan to return as many out-sourced jobs to American workers
- internal operational spending
- used to control/decrease future rates and pricing
- set aside for stock dividends pay-outs in future years until the buy-back process is complete

Pricing Control

This has more to do with defense contractor pricing for goods and services they provide to the Department of Defense. The AIR Program restructuring of the MIC corporations — combined with the capping and reduction in the overall Defense budget starting in FY2009 — will directly result in pricings controls across the entire Defense Establishment. In other words, no more \$2,000 toilet seats.

ERL 5 – Conversion of IGE Model

The AIR Re-structuring of the American Defense Industry offers the *greatest opportunity* to transition of a National Infrastructure Industry into an Income Generating Entity (IGE). We will determine how to get the best bang for our Defense dollars and keep it that way.

Over a period of years, all existing stockholders will be bought out. The stock amounts involved and how the stockholders will be compensated for their share value will be worked as the AIR Program implementation of the Pharmas progresses. Again, that is not avoiding discussing the hard dollars involved. The numbers are what the numbers are, and they will only continue to grow worse the longer We delay these Changes of Necessity to our National System.

Again, I deliberately would not take the time extract and present that data on this or the others in this infamous group of six Existing Industries. Further, We know by now that those numbers will be one of the first things that the Defense Establishment lobbyists will throw out to scare everyone with. But, that expectedly heated rhetoric and domestic propaganda will soon pass.

We will not be made afraid nor will We be deterred from aggressively working for our Common Good. Ironically, this is to be the biggest battle in our Second American Revolution.

That really is all, folks.

And I truly hope that it is enough to get us started!

o o o o

And with that, ladies and gentleman,
We have reached the end of this presentation of the
twenty-seven (27) proposals that comprise the initial version of,

An American Agenda for 2008 and Beyond

o o o o